
# County-Level Risk Assessment: Champaign

## Census Tracts within Champaign



Resilience Category

Very Low Low Moderate High Very High

#### **Basic Statistics**

Table 1: Basic Statistics (County level)

Information	Value
Population	210006 persons
Area sq. miles	998  sqm
Gross Density(persons/sq mil)	210  p/sqm
Avg HH Size	2.34 persons
Median HH Income	53936 USD

### Count of Tracts in each Category




Table 2: Percentage of Census Tracts under each Resilience Category(County level)

Very High	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
5	26	56	14	12


# Indicator Group Rankings

Indicator Group	High Risk Areas	Low Risk Areas
Community High Risk	NonWhite Percent, NotMarried Percent, LargeFam Percent, Percent LanguageBarrier, Percent Food Insecure	Females Percent, FemaleHeaded HHs Percent, Educated percent, Skilled Percent, FemaleLaborforce percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Percent BachelorsDegree, Food Environment Index, Percent Exercise Access, PrimaryCare Physicians Rate, Percent Vaccinated
Economy High Risk	LowIncome Percent, Arts LQ, GINI Index, Percent Children in Poverty, Gender Pay Gap, Percent income required for childcare expenses, Commute Time, Recreation related business rate	poverty, WorkNearby percent, MBS LQ, Sales LQ, Info LQ, Management, Edu LQ, Walkability Score, Education related business rate, Healthcare related business rate
Housing High Risk 0% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%	OldHomes, Rented, Overcrowding, Rental Overcrowding, Rental CostBurden below20000, Percent HousingProblems	NewHomes, Renter MHHI, MedianHomeValue, Segregation Index
Environment  High Risk ON 2015 4076 6076 8076 10005	NO21, O3, PM2.5, PM2Point5, pct harvested acres ln, fungicide ln, herbicide ln, insecticide ln, std metal prim pop ln, Per PSWithSW.x, D303 Percent ln, ALLNPDESperKM ln, SO4Ave ln, W As ln, W Cr ln, W CN ln, W HG ln, W NO2 ln	NO2AM, PM10, pct au ln, Kave ln
Infrastructure High Risk   Low Risk	Percent PoorCondition Bridges, Percent commuters by transit, Other county workers, Workers to OtherCounties, Commuters withinCounty	Percent BroadbandAccess, Airports, Bridges, Freight railroad miles, Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges


### Census Tracts within Champaign




### Rural Resilience within Champaign



## Settlement Types



## Urban Resilience within Champaign




# Rural Indicator Rankings

Indicator Group	High Risk Areas	Low Risk Areas
Community High Risk Lovid 40% 40% 80% 100% Low Risk	Young percent, NotMarried Percent, Avg HHsize, Percent AssistanceNeed, Percent Food Insecure	Females Percent, Educated percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Food Environment Index, Percent Exercise Access, PrimaryCare Physicians Rate, MentalHealth Provider Rate, Percent Vaccinated, Social Association Rate
Economy  High Risk	UnstableEmployment Percent, LowIncome Percent, GINI Index, Percent Children in Poverty, Gender Pay Gap, Percent income required for childcare expenses, CommuteTime, Recreation related business rate	MHHI, poverty, WorkNearby percent, MBS LQ, Sales, Edu, Edu LQ, Walkability Score, Civic related business rate, Education related business rate, Healthcare related business rate
Housing High Risk   Low Risk   Low Risk	Total, OldHomes, Rented, Units SingleFamily, Percent HousingProblems	Homewownership, NewHomes, Rental CostBurden below, Renter MHHI, MedianHomeValue, Segregation Index
Environment High Risk	CO8, Pb3, NO2AM, NO21, O3, PM10, PM2.5, PM2Point5, pct harvested acres ln, fungicide ln, herbicide ln, insecticide ln, std metal prim pop ln, Per PSWithSW.x, D303 Percent ln, ALLNPDESperKM ln, SO4Ave ln, W As ln, W Cr ln, W CN ln, W HG ln, W NO2 ln	SO21, pct au ln, Kave ln
Infrastructure High Risk   Low Risk	Percent PoorCondition Bridges, Percent commuters by transit, Other county workers, Workers to OtherCounties, Commuters withinCounty	Percent BroadbandAccess, Airports, Bridges, Freight railroad miles, Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges

# Urban Indicator Rankings

Indicator Group	High Risk Areas	Low Risk Areas
Community  High Risk Low Risk 20% 40% 60% 80% 100%	NotMarried Percent, SingleParent Percent, Percent LanguageBarrier, Percent NoHealthIns, Physically Unhealthy Days, Violent Crime Rate, COVID-19 death rate	Females Percent, Educated percent, FemaleLaborforce percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Food Environment Index, Percent Exercise Access, PrimaryCare Physicians Rate, MentalHealth Provider Rate, Percent Vaccinated, Social Association Rate
	UnstableEmployment Percent, LowIncome Percent, Wholesale LQ, GINI Index, Percent Children in Poverty, Gender Pay Gap, CommuteTime, Vice related business rate, Recreation related business rate	related business rate, Healthcare
Housing High Risk 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Risk	Total, OldHomes, Vacancy, GroupQuarters, Percent HousingProblems, Percent Section8	Homewownership, NewHomes, Rental CostBurden below, Renter MHHI
	Precipitation, CO8, Pb3, NO2AM, O3, pct disease acres ln, pct au ln, fungicide ln, herbicide ln, std coal prim pop ln, SO4Ave ln, W Cd ln, W Cr ln, W FL ln, W NO3 ln, W NO2 ln	pct harvested acres ln, CaAve ln
Infrastructure High Risk Low Risk	Percent PoorCondition Bridges, Percent commuters by transit, Other county workers, Workers to OtherCounties, Commuters withinCounty	Percent BroadbandAccess, Airports, Business establishments, Freight railroad miles, Passenger railroad miles


## State-Level Places Map



#### Resilience Category



# Places Map within Champaign County, IL



## Count of Places in each Category




Table 6: Percentage of Census Tracts under each Resilience Category(County level)

Very High	High	Moderate	Low	Very Low
0 %	36 %	44 %	20 %	4 %

# Places Indicator Rankings

Indicator Group	High Risk Areas	Low Risk Areas
Community High Risk	Young percent, NotMarried Percent, LargeFam Percent, Physically Unhealthy Days, Violent Crime Rate, Inadequate Facilities, Percent Food Insecure	Females Percent, Educated percent, PrimeWorkingAge percent, Food Environment Index, Percent Exercise Access, PrimaryCare Physicians Rate, MentalHealth Provider Rate, Percent Vaccinated, Social Association Rate
Economy High Risk   Low Risk	LowIncome Percent, PT LQ, Wholesale LQ, GINI Index, Percent Children in Poverty, Gender Pay Gap, Percent income required for childcare expenses, CommuteTime, Vice related business rate, Recreation related business rate	MHHI, poverty, Service LQ, Sales LQ, construction LQ, Finance LQ, Management, Others, Walkability Score, Civic related business rate, Education related business rate, Healthcare related business rate
Housing High Risk 1956 2056 4056 6056 8056 10056 Risk	OldHomes, Units SingleFamily, Percent HousingProblems	Homewownership, NewHomes, Renter MHHI, MedianHomeValue, Segregation Index
Environment High Risk Low Risk	CO8, Pb3, NO2AM, NO21, O3, PM10, PM2.5, PM2Point5, pct harvested acres ln, fungicide ln, herbicide ln, insecticide ln, std metal prim pop ln, Per PSWithSW.x, D303 Percent ln, ALLNPDESperKM ln, SO4Ave ln, W As ln, W Cr ln, W CN ln, W HG ln, W NO2 ln	SO21, pct au ln, Kave ln
Infrastructure High Risk 10% 20% 40% 60% 80% 100% Low Risk	Percent PoorCondition Bridges, Percent commuters by transit, Other county workers, Workers to OtherCounties, Commuters withinCounty	Percent BroadbandAccess, Airports, Bridges, Freight railroad miles, Percent MediumFairCondition Bridges

#### Relevant Resources

The following represent key areas of concern (in order of importance) at the county level:

- Housing
- Environment

The resources below have been extracted from the Disaster Planning Library to facilitate planning for highrisk areas observed through the assessment. Please go through the following tools as a starting point for your planning process and feel free to search the Disaster Planning Library for further information, if required. The resources here are included to facilitate the process of hazard mitigation planning, through the Planning for a Purpose Model of Illinois Extension (see Toolkit).

#### Housing

#### **Buildings and Codes**

• Partial Implementation Of The Federal Flood Risk Management Standard For Hazard Mitigation Assistance Programs (Interim)

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document type: Planning Tool, Policy

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: In its Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) programs, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) utilizes the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 24-14,3 or latest edition, to establish minimum design and construction requirements for structure elevation, dry floodproofing, and mitigation reconstruction.4 Under this interim policy, the FFRMS FVA will be utilized to determine the minimum flood protection elevation for certain project types. This interim policy applies to all HMA programs: the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), and Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA).

 ${\bf Plan\_Components}: {\bf Strategies}, {\bf Planning\ Processes}$ 

#### • Building Codes Toolkit

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The new FEMA Building Science Branch Building Codes Toolkit offers basic guidance and tools to help building owners and occupants learn about building codes and the process of making a building stronger against natural hazards.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Code And Floodplain Management Administration And Enforcement

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2018

Document type: Policy

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters, Soil

Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The Disaster Recovery Reform Act of 2018 (DRRA), amended Sections 402 and 406 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), and authorized FEMA to "provide assistance to state and local governments for building code and floodplain administration and enforcement, including inspections for substantial damage compliance"1 and "base and overtime wages for extra hires to facilitate the implementation and enforcement of adopted building codes for a period of not more than 180 days after the major disaster is declared."

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Codes Save: A Nationwide Study

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: The findings of the MAT investigations, the magnitude of recent hazard events,

and the escalating cost of natural disasters together revealed a compelling need to quantify the value of building codes in reducing damage from natural disasters nationwide.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments

#### • Protecting Communities And Saving Money

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: One of the most cost-effective ways to safeguard our communities against natural disasters is to adopt and follow hazard-resistant building codes. Not only are casualties reduced, but the cost of building damage is also reduced during a natural disaster. Building codes also help communities get back on their feet faster by minimizing indirect costs such as business interruptions and lost income. A new FEMA study has made the impact of building codes on sustainability clear. The cost of not adopting building codes is too high.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies

#### • Resilience Toolkit

Organization: ICC (International Code Council)

Year: 2022

Document\_type : Web-based Resource

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: Over the past twenty years communities worldwide have experienced disaster events that have significantly impacted their society, economy, and culture. As populations grow, urban areas expand, and interconnectedness increases, the potential for a disaster event to have deeper and further-reaching consequences also increases. As a result, there is a need to implement measures that increase resilience across the social, organizational, and infrastructural aspects of communities - community resilience.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes

#### • Resilience Strategies For Wildfire

Organization: Center for Climate and Energy Solutions

Year: 2018

Document type: Planning Tool, Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Wildfires

Abstract: The risk of wildfire is expected to grow across the United States due to reduced precipitation in some regions, and higher temperatures caused by climate change. Wildfire has far-reaching impacts that can ripple through communities, regions, watersheds, and ecosystems. This paper overviews a number of adaptation strategies for areas with a projected increase in wildfire conditions. For each strategy, it will discuss design and operation costs, and primary and co-benefits. The paper includes a community case

study of Austin, Texas, which has used a number of these strategies, and a list of publications and

interactive tools to help communities become more resilient to wildfire

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### • Tornado Risks And Hazards In The Midwest United States

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2007

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters: Tornado

Abstract: The purpose of this Tornado Recovery Advisory (RA) is to summarize facts about the Midwest tornado hazard, specifically the area served by FEMA Region VII. Region VII includes Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska. The general population, specifically homeowners and renters, policy makers, local officials, builders, and building officials know and understand

that tornado occurrence in the Midwest is not a rare event. In fact, more than half of the 20 states with the highest frequency of tornado occurrence on record, and 4 of the top 5 (Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, and Nebraska) are located in the Midwest

Plan\_Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Tools, Information

#### • Tornado Protection Selecting Refuge Areas In Buildings

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2009

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Tornado

Abstract: The guidance presented in this booklet is intended primarily to help building administrators, architects, and engineers select the best available refuge areas in existing schools. Building administrators, architects, and engineers are encouraged to apply this guidance so that the number of injuries and deaths will be minimized if a tornado strikes an occupied school

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### • Sustainable Land Development Code City Of Greensburg, Kansas

Organization: GREENSBURG PLANNING COMMISSION

Year: 2011

Document type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: City code for Greensburg, Kansas. The small rural town is a unique example in which the entire town was destroyed by a tornado. This gave leeway for a complete reimagination of the town and code, building a sustainable and resilient community from the ground up.

Plan Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Code Of Ordinances Village Of Thomasboro, Illinois

Organization: Order of the Board of Trustees, Village of Thomasboro

Document\_type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract : Code of Ordinances for a small rural town in Illinois. Plan\_Components : Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Information

# • State Of Illinois Illinois Department Of Natural Resources Model Stormwater Management Ordinance

Organization: Illinois Department of Natural Resources

 $Year:\,2015$ 

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: In June 2015, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources

(IDNR/OWR) issued a report for the Urban Flooding Awareness Act. The report recognizes that combating the damages of urban flooding requires a coordinated approach from state and local governments. A critical component in that effort is for local governmental entities to adopt sound, comprehensive stormwater management ordinances that incorporate best practices. To that end, IDNR/OWR and the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) developed this Model Stormwater Management Ordinance as a

resource for counties and municipalities to use when drafting or revising their own stormwater ordinances. While local development, review, and approval processes are unique, IDNR/OWR provides this document as a template containing the minimum requirements for an effective ordinance and suggestions for more advanced stormwater protection

Plan\_Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### Floods and Disaster Mitigation

# • Partial Implementation Of The Federal Flood Risk Management Standard For Hazard Mitigation Assistance Programs (Interim)

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Policy

Disasters: Flood

Abstract: In its Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) programs, the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) utilizes the American Society of Civil Engineers (ASCE) 24-14,3 or latest edition, to establish minimum design and construction requirements for structure elevation, dry floodproofing, and mitigation reconstruction.4 Under this interim policy, the FFRMS FVA will be utilized to determine the minimum flood protection elevation for certain project types. This interim policy applies to all HMA programs: the Hazard Mitigation Grant Program (HMGP), HMGP Post Fire, Building Resilient Infrastructure and Communities (BRIC), and Flood Mitigation Assistance (FMA).

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Planning Processes

#### Building Codes Toolkit

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2021

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The new FEMA Building Science Branch Building Codes Toolkit offers basic guidance and tools to help building owners and occupants learn about building codes and the process of making a building stronger against natural hazards.

Plan Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Code And Floodplain Management Administration And Enforcement

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2018

Document type: Policy

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters, Soil

Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The Disaster Recovery Reform Act of 2018 (DRRA), amended Sections 402 and 406 of the Robert T. Stafford Disaster Relief and Emergency Assistance Act (Stafford Act), and authorized FEMA to "provide assistance to state and local governments for building code and floodplain administration and enforcement, including inspections for substantial damage compliance"1 and "base and overtime wages for extra hires to facilitate the implementation and enforcement of adopted building codes for a period of not more than 180 days after the major disaster is declared."

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Building Codes Save: A Nationwide Study

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: The findings of the MAT investigations, the magnitude of recent hazard events,

and the escalating cost of natural disasters together revealed a compelling need to quantify the value of building codes in reducing damage from natural disasters nationwide.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments

#### • Protecting Communities And Saving Money

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2020

Document\_type: General Resource/ Foundational Research

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: One of the most cost-effective ways to safeguard our communities against natural disasters is to adopt and follow hazard-resistant building codes. Not only are casualties reduced, but the cost of building damage is also reduced during a natural disaster. Building codes also help communities get back on their feet faster by minimizing indirect costs such as business interruptions and lost income. A new FEMA study has made the impact of building codes on sustainability clear. The cost of not adopting building codes is too high.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Case Studies

#### • Resilience Toolkit

Organization: ICC (International Code Council)

Year: 2022

Document\_type : Web-based Resource

Disasters : Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Wildfires, Soil Ero-

sion/Landslides

Abstract: Over the past twenty years communities worldwide have experienced disaster events that have significantly impacted their society, economy, and culture. As populations grow, urban areas expand, and interconnectedness increases, the potential for a disaster event to have deeper and further-reaching consequences also increases. As a result, there is a need to implement measures that increase resilience across the social, organizational, and infrastructural aspects of communities - community resilience.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Planning Processes

#### • Resilience Strategies For Wildfire

Organization: Center for Climate and Energy Solutions

Year: 2018

Document type: Planning Tool, Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Wildfires

Abstract: The risk of wildfire is expected to grow across the United States due to reduced precipitation in some regions, and higher temperatures caused by climate change. Wildfire has far-reaching impacts that can ripple through communities, regions, watersheds, and ecosystems. This paper overviews a number of adaptation strategies for areas with a projected increase in wildfire conditions. For each strategy, it will discuss design and operation costs, and primary and co-benefits. The paper includes a community case

study of Austin, Texas, which has used a number of these strategies, and a list of publications and interactive tools to help communities become more resilient to wildfire

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### • Tornado Risks And Hazards In The Midwest United States

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2007

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters: Tornado

Abstract: The purpose of this Tornado Recovery Advisory (RA) is to summarize facts about the Midwest tornado hazard, specifically the area served by FEMA Region VII. Region VII includes Iowa, Kansas, Missouri, and Nebraska. The general population, specifically homeowners and renters, policy makers, local officials, builders, and building officials know and understand

that tornado occurrence in the Midwest is not a rare event. In fact, more than half of the 20 states with the highest frequency of tornado occurrence on record, and 4 of the top 5 (Texas, Oklahoma, Kansas, and Nebraska) are located in the Midwest

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Tools, Information

#### • Tornado Protection Selecting Refuge Areas In Buildings

Organization: FEMA

Year: 2009

Document type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Tornado

Abstract: The guidance presented in this booklet is intended primarily to help building administrators, architects, and engineers select the best available refuge areas in existing schools. Building administrators, architects, and engineers are encouraged to apply this guidance so that the number of injuries and deaths will be minimized if a tornado strikes an occupied school

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies, Tools, Information

#### • Sustainable Land Development Code City Of Greensburg, Kansas

Organization: GREENSBURG PLANNING COMMISSION

Year: 2011

Document type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: City code for Greensburg, Kansas. The small rural town is a unique example in which the entire town was destroyed by a tornado. This gave leeway for a complete reimagination of the town and code, building a sustainable and resilient community from the ground up.

Plan\_Components: Strategies, Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### • Code Of Ordinances Village Of Thomasboro, Illinois

Organization: Order of the Board of Trustees, Village of Thomasboro

Document type: Example Ordinances and Codes

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Earthquakes, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: Code of Ordinances for a small rural town in Illinois. Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Information

# • State Of Illinois Illinois Department Of Natural Resources Model Stormwater Management Ordinance

Organization: Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Year: 2015

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: In June 2015, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources (IDNR/OWR) issued a report for the Urban Flooding Awareness Act. The report recognizes that

combating the damages of urban flooding requires a coordinated approach from state and local governments. A critical component in that effort is for local governmental entities to adopt sound, comprehensive stormwater management ordinances that incorporate best practices. To that end, IDNR/OWR and the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) developed this Model Stormwater Management Ordinance

resource for counties and municipalities to use when drafting or revising their own stormwater ordinances. While local development, review, and approval processes are unique, IDNR/OWR provides this document as a template containing the minimum requirements for an effective ordinance and suggestions for more advanced stormwater protection

Plan Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### **Environment**

#### **Environmental Resources**

#### • Branching Out: Agroforestry As A Climate Change Mitigation And Adaptation Tool For Agriculture

Organization: Journal of Soil and Water Conservation

Year: 2012

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Man-Made Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides,

Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: US and Canadian agricultural lands are being pressed to provide more environmental and economic services, while at the same time their capacity to provide these services under potential climate change (CC) is being questioned (Field et al. 2007; CAST 2011). Producers are already experiencing weather patterns outside of climate norms (e.g., the 2011 droughts in Texas, and flooding along the Missouri River in the United States and

along the Red River in Canada) that have had significant impacts on production. Predictions of future climate conditions for the US Midwest include longer growing seasons that could potentially increase crop yields but also increase heat waves,

floods, droughts, and insect and weed issues that may then adversely impact production (USGCRP 2009). Climate change drives many stressors and interacts with many non-climatic stressors. This makes it difficult to forecast outcomes in any general way other than many existing threats to agricultural production, such as erosion and pests, which will most likely be exacerbated under shifting climate (Field et al. 2007; USGCRP 2009). Creating profitable and healthy operations under this unpredictable interplay of factors driven by shifting climate (and, along with it, shifting markets) will be a daunting task.

It will be essential that farmers, ranchers, and even communities have a variety of land management options to minimize the risks and maximize services under such uncertain conditions.

Plan Components: Strategies

#### • Green Infrastructure: Smart Conservation For The 21st Century

Organization: Renewable Resources Journal

Year: 2002

Document\_type : General Resource/ Foundational Research, Planning Tool

Disasters : Flood, Man-Made Disasters

Abstract: "Green infrastructure" is a term becoming more commonly used among natural resource professionals. While it means different things to different people, depending on the context in which it is used, for the purposes of this article, green infrastructure is an interconnected network of green space that conserves natural ecosystem values and functions and provides associated benefits to human populations. Green infrastructure is the ecological framework needed for environmental, social and economic sustainability- our nation's natural life support system. Planning utilizing green infrastructure differs from conventional open space planning because it looks at conservation values in concert with land development, growth management and built infrastructure planning. This article introduces green infrastructure as a strategic approach to land conservation that addresses the ecological and social impacts of sprawl and the accelerated consumption and fragmentation of open land. It describes the concept and value of green infrastructure and presents seven principles for successful green infrastructure initiatives.

Plan\_Components : Planning Processes

#### • Emergency Support Function #11

Organization: Department of Agriculture

Year: 2016

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters : Agricultural Disasters

Abstract : Emergency Support Function (ESF) #11 – Agriculture and Natural Resources organizes and coordinates Federal support for the protection of the Nation's agricultural and natural and cultural resources during national emergencies. ESF #11 works during

actual and potential incidents to provide nutrition assistance; respond to animal and agricultural health issues; provide technical expertise, coordination and support of animal and agricultural emergency management; ensure the safety and defense of the

Nation's supply of meat, poultry, and processed egg products; and ensure the protection of natural and cultural resources and historic properties

Plan\_Components : Strategies

#### • Questions And Answers About Drainage Water Management For The Midwest

Organization : Purdue Extension

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Man-Made Disasters, Biological Disasters, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: Subsurface tile drainage is an essential water management practice on many highly productive fields in the Midwest. However, nitrate carried in drainage water can lead to local water quality problems and contribute to hypoxia in the Gulf of Mexico, so

strategies are needed to reduce the nitrate loads while maintaining adequate drainage for crop production. Practices that can reduce nitrate loads on tile-drained soils include growing winter forage or cover crops, fine-tuning fertilizer application rates and timing,

bioreactors, treatment wetlands, and modifying drainage system design and operation. Drainage water management is one of these practices and is described in this fact sheet. Answers given here apply specifically to Midwest corn and soybean cropping

systems, and not to perennial or winter annual crops.

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms

#### • Cover Crop Decision Tool

Organization: Midwest Cover Crop Council

Year: 2021

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: Decision tool for deciding what cover crop to use.

Plan Components: Strategies

#### • Buffers And Vegetative Filter Strips

Organization: EPA

Document type: General Resource/Foundational Research

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: Buffers have been found to be most effective in trapping particulate pollutants. In addition, the export of soluble pollutants is expected to decrease when infiltration is maximized. Narrow buffers have also been shown to be effective in reducing the export of particulate pollutants when the integrity of the system is maintained. This highlights that one of the primary functions

of buffers is to slow surface water movement which reduces the export of pollutants, particularly 2 particulate pollutants, and narrow strips of dense grass can function in this capacity and provide water quality benefits (Dabney et al. 2006). Also, these narrow strips could be used in-field as vegetative barriers to slow pollutant movement in-field and control concentrated flow erosion.

To maximize infiltration of runoff, wider buffers or a greater buffer area to source area should be used. Research has found a significant range in buffer performance with reported sediment

trapping efficiencies ranging from 41% to 100% and infiltration efficiencies ranging from 9% to 100%.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments

#### • Aquifer Storage And Recovery

Organization: FEMA

Document type: Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood, Drought

Abstract: FEMA is encouraging communities to incorporate methods to mitigate the impacts of climate change into

eligible Hazard Mitigation Assistance (HMA) funded risk reduction activities by providing guidance on

mitigating flood and drought conditions. FEMA has developed initial guidance on flood and drought mitigation

activities including green infrastructure methods, expanded ecosystem service benefits, and three flood reduction and drought mitigation activities: Aquifer Storage and Recovery (ASR), Floodplain and Stream

Restoration (FSR), and Flood Diversion and Storage (FDS).

Plan Components: Strategies

#### • The Regional Conservation Partnership Program

Organization: USDA

Year: 2022

Document\_type: Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Flood, Man-Made Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: The Regional Conservation Partnership Program (RCPP) promotes coordination of NRCS conservation activities with partners that offer value-added contributions to expand our collective ability to address on-farm, watershed, and regional natural resource concerns. Through RCPP, NRCS seeks to co-invest with partners to implement projects that demonstrate innovative solutions to conservation challenges and provide measurable improvements and outcomes tied to the resource concerns they seek to address.

Plan Components: Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Green Infrastructure

#### • Volunteer Fire Assistance Program

Organization: IDNR

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource, Funding Opportunities

Disasters : Wildfires

Abstract: The Illinois Department of Natural Resources Division of Forestry administers the USDA Forest Service's VFA funds. The funds are for rural and small fire departments. The grant funds fire projects at 50% of the cost of a project up to \$10,000 per department. Forestry's portion is up to \$10,000, the Department may spend as much as they need to complete the project. No one item can be \$5,000 or more. The funds can only be used for fire projects, EMS, HazMat or crash rescue are not eligible. The funds are awarded based on competitive applications. Applications will be posted on Forestry's web site. The Office of the State Fire Marshall will send out a blast email and post it on their web site and the Illinois Fire Service Institute (IFSI) will also post it on their web site. Once the application is posted, Departments will have three months to submit their applications. The types of projects that have been funded in previous grants include radios, hose, SCBA, turn out gear, wildland PPE, hand tools, chainsaws, backpack blowers, modifying federal excess vehicles and

equipment and other equipment. For more information Contact Forestry's Wildland Fire Program

Manager 217/782-8774.

Plan\_Components : Strategies, Funding Mechanisms, Tools

#### • Wildland Fire Fighter Program

Organization: IDNR

Year: 2022

Document type: Web-based Resource

Disasters: Wildfires

Abstract: Information about the Wildland Fire Fighter Program.

Plan Components: Information

#### • Comprehensive Environmental Review Process Manual

Organization: IDNR

Year: 2014

Document\_type: Manual for an Local Organization, Funding Opportunities

Disasters: Man-Made Disasters, Biological Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters,

habitat destruction, invasive species,

Abstract: The Comprehensive Environmental Review Process (CERP) is an internal IDNR

process to review:

actions that the Department performs or funds,

actions that the Department approves and a tax incentive is provided,

actions that occur on IDNR-owned or leased land.

An action is any activity that may change

existing physical, chemical or biological

conditions of air, land, or water.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Green Infrastructure, Information

#### Community Flood Resilience In Vinton: Engaging Residents Affected By The Floods Of 2008 And 2016

Organization: Iowa Watershed Approach, U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development (HUD)

Year: 2020

Document\_type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization

Disasters: Flood

Abstract : Vinton was selected to be included in the Iowa Watershed Approach's

Flood Resilience Program, which recognizes that social resources are often absent or minimally evident when it comes to flood resiliency.

The program strives to improve the use of social resources in

watersheds by connecting local partners and stakeholders, enhancing

the presence of social resources in watershed planning efforts, and

increasing the awareness and communication about established and

novel flood resilience initiatives.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Case Studies

#### • All Hazards

Organization: Iowa State Univesity

Year: 2018

 ${\tt Document\_type: General \ Resource/\ Foundational \ Research, \ Web-based \ Resource, \ Planning \ Tool}$ 

Disasters: Tornado, Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Wildfires, Man-Made Disasters, Biological

Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: The educational materials found on this site are the compilation of many resources currently available on-line. The information has been gathered from federal agencies such as the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), Environmental Protection Agency, the Federal

Emergency Management System (FEMA), the U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA), the Food and Drug Administration, and the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). Many non-governmental groups, such as the American Red Cross and the National Safety Council, as well as various Cooperative Extension agencies also have resources and information for preparedness that are provided through this website.

Plan Components: Surveys/Assessments, Planning Processes, Tools, Information

#### • Upper South Branch Kishwaukee River Watershed Improvement Plan

Organization: Applied Ecological Services Inc.

Year: 2021

Document\_type : Specific Plan

Disasters: Flood, Man-Made Disasters, Soil Erosion/Landslides, Agricultural Disasters

Abstract: A RESOLUTION ADOPTING THE UPPER SOUTH BRANCH KISHWAUKEE RIVER

IMPROVEMENT WATERSHED PLAN AS AN AMENDMENT TO THE STORMWATER MANAGEMENT PLAN FOR DEKALB COUNTY, IL

Plan\_Components: Surveys/Assessments, Strategies, Planning Processes, Green Infrastructure

#### • Kane County 2040 Green Infrastructure Plan

Organization: Kane County Board, Quality of Kane, Greening Infrastructure, IDNR

Year: 2012

Document\_type : Specific Plan

Disasters: Flood, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: The ultimate goal of the Kane County 2040 Green Infrastructure Plan is to lay the

groundwork for green infrastructure planning and projects at the regional, community, neighborhood and site levels addressing current issues of water resource management, biodiversity, conservation, water supply, public health, climate change and economic development.

Plan\_Components : Surveys/Assessments, Planning Processes, Funding Mechanisms, Green Infrastructure, Information

# • State Of Illinois Illinois Department Of Natural Resources Model Stormwater Management Ordinance

Organization: Illinois Department of Natural Resources

Year: 2015

Document type: Planning Tool, Manual for an Local Organization, Policy

Disasters: Flood, Drought, Extreme Weather, Soil Erosion/Landslides

Abstract: In June 2015, the Illinois Department of Natural Resources, Office of Water Resources (IDNR/OWR) issued a report for the Urban Flooding Awareness Act. The report recognizes that combating the damages of urban flooding requires a coordinated approach from state and local governments. A critical component in that effort is for local governmental entities to adopt sound, comprehensive stormwater management ordinances that incorporate best practices. To that end, IDNR/OWR and the Illinois State Water Survey (ISWS) developed this Model Stormwater Management Ordinance as a

resource for counties and municipalities to use when drafting or revising their own stormwater ordinances. While local development, review, and approval processes are unique, IDNR/OWR provides this document as a template containing the minimum requirements for an effective ordinance and suggestions for more advanced stormwater protection

Plan Components: Tools, Green Infrastructure, Information

 ${\bf Appendix} \ {\bf A}$   ${\bf Interpretation \ of \ High \ Risk \ and \ Low \ Risk \ Areas \ at \ County \ Level}$ 

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Agri	Percentage Employed in Agricultural Occupations	A lower percentage of workers in the agricultural sector indicates a greater economic diversity, lower dependence on weather conditions, higher resilience and lower risk	disasters and extreme weather events such that their productivity goes down	1249.0	Very High
Agri LQ	Location Quotient of Agricultural Occupations	A lower LQ for the Agricultural Sector indicates a low risk	A higher LQ for Agricultural Businesses indicates a higher risk	1.2	Very Low
Agro Percent	Percentage Employment in Agriculture and related Sectors	A lower percentage of workers in the agricultural sector indicates a greater economic diversity, lower dependence on weather conditions, higher resilience and lower risk	disasters and extreme weather events such that their productivity goes down	1.3	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Airports	Non- Commercial -Civil Public Use Airports and Seaplane base	A higher value is associated is low risk	It indicates access to communication and transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	1.0	Very Low
ALLNPDESperKM ln	All NPDES permits per 1000 km of stream, as permits per 1000km stream length, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates pollution of water resources. A higher value is associated with high risk	4.0	Very High
Arts	Percentage Employed in Arts Occupations	A lower employment in the arts sector could indicate higher resilience to economic shocks and higher incomes and is therefore associated with lower risk	Although a higher proportion of artists could signal a greater economic diversity, arts occupations are highly susceptible to natural disasters.  Therefore a greater employment in arts industries could signal a higher disaster risk.	9824.0	Very High
Arts LQ	Location Quotient of Arts Occupations	A low LQ in the arts sector is associated with low risk	A high LQ in the arts sector is associated with higher risk	1.1	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Avg HHsize	Average Household Size	A smaller average household size indicates a greater proportion ofhousehold resources available to every individual in the household and is therefore a lower risk	Household sizes relate with the distribution of resources among individuals with the household. A greater average household size indicates a lesser proprotion of resources available to individuals and is therefore interpreted as a high risk	2.3	Moderate
Avg temp	Average Temperature	A lower average temperature is associated with lower risk	A higher average temperate is associated with a higher risk	52.2	Low
Bridges	Number of Bridges	A higher value is associated is low risk	It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high riskA lower value is associated with high risk	725.0	Very Low
Business establishments	Number of business es- tablishments	A higher value is associated is low risk	It represents access to resources, and economic vilatity. A lower value is associated with high risk	4273.0	Very Low
CaAve ln	Calcium (Ca) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-1.5	Moderate

### (continued)

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Civic related business rate	Rate of civic-related business per county	A higher value is associated is low risk	Civic-related businesses indicate a strong, built-environment and development industry. A lower value is associated with high risk	0.0	Very High
CO8	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	0.0	Low
Commuters withinCounty	Number of resident workers who commute within county	A higher value is associated is low risk	A lower commute time is assocatied with a health local economy. A lower value is associated with high risk	95030.0	Very High
CommuteTime	Time it takes from home to go to work in minutes		Time spent in commute is associated with lack of productivity and extra expenses. A lower commute time is associated with a health local economy. A higher value is associated with high risk	16.9	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
construction	Percentage Employed in Construction Occupations	Construction and allied industries represent possibilities for robust economic growth and government investment. A greater employment in the construction industry also signals potential for development of local infrastructure. A higher employment in this sector is therefore a low risk	Lower employment in the construction sector indicates lower investment in built environment and infrastructure and high disaster risks	5459.0	Very Low
construction LQ	Location Quotient of Construction Occupations	A higher LQ for the construction industry indicates a low disaster risk		0.7	Very High
Cost IncomeRatio	Ratio of Housing Cost to Household Income	A lower cost to income ratio indicates that households are successfully able to meet their financial demands and are more resilient to disasters. It is therefore a low risk.	A higher cost to income ratio indicates that households are unable to meet their financial needs and are more likely to suffer adversely during disasters. It is therefore associated with high risk.	0.0	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
COVID-19 death rate	All deaths occurring between January 1, 2020 and December 31, 2020 due to COVID-19, per 100,000 population (ageadjusted).	A Lower value is associated with low risk	A greater number of deaths owing to Covid-19 indicates pre-existing health conditions and comorbidities within the community. A higher value is associated with high risk	32.0	Low
D303 Percent ln	% of stream length impaired in county, log-transfored	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates pollution of water resources. A higher value is associated with high risk	2.9	Very High
Disabled Percent	Percentage of Disabled Persons	A lower percentage of disabled individuals in the community indicates a lower risk owing to reduced requirements for preparation, disaster and accessibility planning	A higher percentage of disabled individuals is associated with a high risk. They are disproportionately affected owing to inaccessible evacuation, response and recovery efforts and could often be left behind.	0.2	Very Low
Docks	Total Docks	A higher value is associated is low risk	It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	0.0	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Edu	Percentage Employed in Education- related Occupations	A higher employment in the education sector signals a more skilled economy, which is typically more resilient to natural disasters. It is therefore a low risk	A lower employment in the education sector signals a less resilient, less skilled economy, and is therefore associated with high risk	40071.0	Very Low
Edu LQ	Location Quotient of Education- related Occupations	A high LQ in the education sector signals a higher economic resilience and low risk	A lower LQ in education sector signals a lower economic resilience and high risk	1.7	Very Low
Educated percent	Percentage of Educated Persons	A greater person of educated individuals is interpreted as low disaster risk	Lack of education is associated with social marginalization, lack of preparation and disaster planning. Therefore a lower percentage of educated individuals is interpreted as high risk.	97.0	Very Low
Education related business rate	Rate of education- related business per county	A higher value is associated is low risk	Education-related businesses enable a community to obtain access to education and child development resources. A lower value is associated with high risk	0.0	Very Low

### (continued)

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Elderly growth percent	Growth Percent of Elderly Population	A lower percentage of older adults represents a lower disaster risk.	Older adults are more vulnerable to dosaster risks owing to functional problems, health and medication concerns. A greater percentage of older adults represents a higher risk.	-1.1	Very Low
FemaleHeaded HHs Percent	Percentage of Households with Female Householder	A lower percentage of female headed households indicates a lower disaster risk, a more stable family and household structure, greater social integration and family support, and higher socio-economic status at the community level	A higher percentage of female headed households could indicate a higher disaster risk owing to greater chances of poverty, and particular risk from housing shocks and stresses, hunger and food insecurity owing to gender discrimination	3.7	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
FemaleLaborforce percent	Percentage of Labor Force constituted by Women	A higher participation of females in the workforce indicates increased incomes, and lowers or leads to sharing of unpaid care-work with other members of the family, leading to a more economically resilient community. Thus a higher female workforce participation indicates a low disaster risk	A lower participation of women in the labour force is associated with lower household incomes and poverty. Therefore a lower female workforce participation means a higher disaster risk owing to lower economic support.	82.8	Very Low
Females Percent	Percentage of Females	A good percentage of women represent a stable sex ratio for the area and is therefore a positive indicator	A low percentage of women could indicate an unstable sex ratio, thereby showing that there are fewer opportunities for women in the area. This could have negative consequences during a disaster	50.1	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Finance	Percentage Employed in Finance Occupations	Finance, realestate, etc. jobs are positively correlated with high incomes, job security and high economic resilience during disasters. Therefore a greater employment ins uch industries poses a low risk and indicates a diverse economic base. A higher employment in such industries is associated with a low risk	A lower employment in finance, real estate etc. signals lower incomes and less resilient jobs. Therefore, a lower employment in this sector is associated with high risk	5102.0	Very Low
Finance LQ	Location Quotient of Finance Occupations	A high LQ in finance, real estate, etc. signals a low risk	A low LQ in finance, real estate, etc. signals a high risk	0.7	Moderate
Food Environment Index	Index of factors that contribute to a healthy food environment, from 0 (worst) to 10 (best).	A higher value is associated is low risk	A poor food environment reduces the physical and mental vitality of a community. A lower value is associated with high risk.	8.2	Moderate
Freight railroad miles	Route miles of freight railroad	A higher value is associated is low risk	It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	135.5	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
fungicide ln	Fungicides applied in pounds, log- transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk	8.7	Very High
Gender Pay Gap	A ratio of women's median earnings to men's median earnings for all full-time, year-round workers, presented as "cents on the dollar."	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Gender inequality determines a society's ability to develop economically and equitably. A higher value is associated with high risk	0.8	Very High
GINI Index	GINI Index for Inequality Determina- tion	A lower GINI Index depicts lesser inequality in the industry and is associated with low risk	A higher inequality represents differential distribution of resources, greater poverty and lack of accessibility. A higher GINI Index is associated with high risk.	0.5	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
GroupQuarters	Percentage of GroupQuar- ters as part of Total Housing Stock	concentration of	Group quarters consist of unrelated persons sharing a living space, either in institutionalized or non-institutionalized settings. Thus they lack the social support of a family and a high percentage of group quarter population is associated with high risk	488.0	Very High
Healthcare related business rate	Rate of healthcare- related businesses per county	A higher value is associated is low risk	Availability of health care businesses indicate access to medical resources during disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	0.0	Low
HelperPool	Percentage of Helpers in a time of crisis	A higher proportion of helpers indicates a lower risk, since a greater number of persons will be available for disaster recovery and mitigation efforts.	greater support	107.0	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
herbicide ln	Herbicides applied in pounds, log- transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk	12.9	Very High
Homewownership	Perecentage Homewowners	A higher percentage of homeownership is associated with greater income and family stability and greater access to relief during a disaster. It is therefore a low risk	A lower percentage of homeownership signals lower incomes and support during disasters. It is therefore a higher risk.	47.1	Very High
Inadequate Facilities	Percentage of households with inadequate infrastructure within their housing unit	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Inadequate infrastructure within the household lowers quality of life and residents' health. A higher value is associated with high risk	1.0	Moderate
Info	Percentage Employed in Information- based Occupations	Information industries are positively associated with mitigation planning and have the ability to shift to remote working during a disaster. Therefore, such a higher employment in such industries poses a low risk	and a higher susceptibility to	2481.0	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Info LQ	Location Quotient of Information- based Occupations		A lower LQ in information sector signals a high risk	1.4	Very Low
insecticide ln	Insecticide applied in pounds, log- transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk	9.7	Very High
Kave ln	Potassium (K) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-1.5	Very Low
LargeFam Percent	Percentage of Large Families as part of all Families	In case of smaller family sizes, a greater investment of the family's resources is possible leading to greater achievements. Therefore a smaller proportion of big families is a reduced social vulnerability during disasters	families, the same limited resources are shared by a large group of	31.7	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
LowIncome Percent	Percentage Low Income Population	A lower proportion of low income families indicates a greater access to resources for preparation and recovery from disasters and is hence a low disaster risk	A higher proportion of low income households lack of adequate access to resources to prepare for and recover from disasters, contingency funds, personal vehicles and abilities to arrange for alternate housing arrangements during disasters. It is therefore a high risk.	30.2	Moderate
Management	Percentage Employed in Management Occupations	Management, Business and Science jobs are invariably correlated with greater incomes, social mobility and lower dependence on place-based work. Therefore they are more resilient during disasters and higher employment in these sector represent lower risks	A lower proportion of employees in Management, Business and Science professions indicates lower incomes and a low skill economy and is therefore indicative of higher disaster risks	50866.0	Very Low
Management LQ	Location Quotient of Management Occupations	A higher LQ in management, business, or science indicates a low risk	A lower LQ in Management, business or science indicates a high risk	56.0	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Manufacturing	Percentage Employed in Manufactur- ing Occupations	A lower concentration of manufacturing industries/employment indicates lower chances of disruption during disasters. It is therefore an area of low risk	Manufacturing industries are directly affected by natural disasters. Extreme events cause great damage to the manufacturing units and their associated supply chains. A higher concentration of Manufacturing Industries is associated with high risk	7076.0	
Manufacturing LQ	Location Quotient of Manufactur- ing Occupations	A lower LQ for manufacturing industries indicates a low risk	A higher LQ for manufacturing industries indicates a high risk	0.6	Very Low
Marinas	Total Marinas	A higher value is associated is low risk	It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	0.0	NA
Max temp	Maximum Temperature	A lower maximum temperature is associated with lower risk	A higher maximum temperature is associated with a higher risk	52.2	Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
MBS	Percentage Employed in Management, Business and Science Occupations	Management, Business and Science jobs are invariably correlated with greater incomes, social mobility and lower dependence on place-based work. Therefore they are more resilient during disasters and higher employment in these sector represent lower risks	A lower proportion of employees in Management, Business and Science professions indicates lower incomes and a low skill economy and is therefore indicative of higher disaster risks	48150.0	Very Low
MBS LQ	Location Quaotient of Managemnt, Business and Science Occupations	A high Location Quotient for Management, Business and Science professions indicates a more specialized, high income economy. It is indicative of low disaster risk	A low LQ for Management, Business and Science professions indicates a less specialized economy and is therefore indicative of lower economic diversity, lower incomes and high risk	1.2	Very Low
MedianHomeValue	Median Home Value	A higher home value indicates higher incomes, higher accessibility to funds during disaster and is therefore associated with low risk	A lower home value indicates lower incomes and is therefore associated with high risk	166600.0	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
MentalHealth Provider Rate	Ratio of population to mental health providers.	A higher value is associated is low risk	A lower proportion of physicians indicates that community members may not have access to mental health resources during disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	295.4	Low
МННІ	Median Household Income	A higher income indicates greater avaiability of resources to cope with disasters as well as to prevent them. Therefore it is a low risk	Low income HHs tend to locate or concentrate in areas prone to natural disasters sich as floodplains, because the land is cheaper.  Moreover they face resource deficiencies when a disaster strikes. A lower Median Household Income is associated with a higher disaster risk.	53936.0	High
Min temp	Minimum Temperature	A higher minimum temperature is associated with lower risk	A lower minimum temperature is associated with a higher risk	52.2	Low
MobileHomes	Percentage of Mobile Homes as part of Total Housing Stock		A greater proportion of mobile homes is associated with greater risk owing to structural problems and lower incomes.	3.4	Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
NewHomes	Percentage of New Homes as part of Total Housing Stock	A higher proprotion of new homes indicates a greater structural resilience to natural disasters and is associated with a low risk		36.9	Very Low
NO21	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	0.0	Very High
NO2AM	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	0.0	Very Low
NO3Ave.x	Nitrate (NO3) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	1.0	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
NonWhite Percent	Populations, add Hispanic Population	population of ethnic minorities might indicate a less diverse population. However, in case of disaster vulnerability, a lower population of racial minorities has been represented as a lower vulnerability, owing to its correlations with poverty and health care outcomes.	Race and ethnicity are highly correlated with poverty and thus often with health outcomes. Owing to historical, systemic and structural barriers, racial minorities are often more susceptible to disasters and often lack the support mechanisms required to cope. As a result, a higher population of racial or ethnic minorities might (in conjunction with income, poverty, employment, education and other indicators) represent a greater vulnerability.		Very High
NotMarried Percent	Percentage of Unmarried Persons	A lower percentage of unmarried individuals could indicate a lower vulnerability to disasters owing to the availability of social support that comes with having a family	A higher percentage of unmarried individuals could indicate lack of family and social support.	67.0	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
O3	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	0.1	Very High
Old percent	Percentage of Elders**	A lower percentage population of elders indicates a lower vulnerability.	A higher percentage of elder population indicates a greater vulnerability, owing to physical infirmities, functional limitations and care needs.	18.0	Very Low
OldHomes	part of Total	A lower proportion of old homes signals new construction that is more structurally resilient to natural disasters. It is therefore associated with low disaster risk	A higher proportion of houses that are built more than 30 years ago signals an old housing stock in need of repair and reconstruction. It is therefore associated with high disaster risk.	63.1	Very Low
Other county workers	Number of workers from other counties who commute to work in the county		Asmaller commute time is assocatied with a health local economy. A higher value is associated with high risk	7154.0	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Others	Percentage Employed in Other Occupations	Employment across other sectors represents diversification of the economic base and is therefore positively correlated with economic resilience. It is therefore a low risk	A lower employment in other sectors represents a less diverse and creative economy. It is therefore associated with high risks	25246.0	High
Others LQ	Location Quotient of Other Occupations	A higher LQ in other industries is associated with low risk	A lower LQ in other industries is associated with high risk	51.8	Very High
Overcrowding	Percentage of Overcrowded Homes as part of Total Housing Stock	A lower proportion of vercrowded units signals higher incomes and well-maintained, structurally sound housing units which are resilient to disasters. It is therefore associated with low risk	number of	1.4	Very High
Passenger railroad miles	Route miles of passenger railroad and rail transit	A higher value is associated is low risk	It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	37.4	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Pb3	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	0.0	Low
pct au ln	Animal Units, animal units per county acres, log- transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk	-16.3	Very Low
pct disease acres ln	Chemicals used to control Diseases in crops and orchards, acres applied per county acres, log- transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk	-3.0	High
pct harvested acres ln	Harvested acres, acres harvested per county acres, log-transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk	-0.2	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln	Irrigated acres, acres irrigated per county acres, log-transformed	A higher value is associated is low risk	A lower value is associated with high risk, since poor irrigation can lead to agricultural failure	0.1	Low
pct manure acres ln	Manure, acres applied per county acres , log- transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates soil quality and environmental pollution. During disasters, pollutants from the soil can contaminate the environment. A higher value is associated with high risk	-4.5	Moderate
Per PSWithSW.x	Percent of Public Supply Population which is on Surface Water	A Lower value is associated with high risk	It indicates access to water resources. A higher value is associated with low risk	0.0	Very High
Per TotPopSS.x	Percent of Population on Self Supply	A Lower value is associated with high risk	It indicates access to water resources. A higher value is associated with low risk	9.3	Low
Percent Medium- FairCondition Bridges	% of Medium to Fair Condition Bridges	A higher value is associated is low risk	It indicates access to transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A lower value is associated with high risk	1.0	Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Percent AssistanceNeed	Percentage of Population with Assisstance Need	A lower percentage of people withfood assistance indicates higher incomes and economic resilience, and is therefore a low risk area	A high proportion of persons with assistance needs indicates poverty and lack of socio-economic resilience.  Therefore it is interpreted as a high risk.	-32.9	Low
Percent BachelorsDegree	Percentage of Population with Bachelors' Degree	A greater proportion of persons with bachelors' degree indicates a greater likelihood of being employed by a variety of employers, along with a higher concentration of high skilled high income positions. Therefore it is interpreted as a lowrisk	A lower porportion of persons with a bachelor's degree indicates a lower number of persons with skills for career advancement. It indicates lack of skilled labour in the workforce and tehrefore lower incomes and social mobility. Therefore it is interpreted as a high risk factor	26.3	Very Low
Percent Children in Poverty	Percentage of people under age 18 in poverty.	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Children living in poverty indicate inadquate resources available for growth, education and development. A higher value is associated with high risk	15.4	Moderate

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Percent Commuters	Percentage of Population who Commute to work regularly	A lower percentage of commuters indicates that most workers live near where they work and can continue their jobs irrespective of transportation network disruptions. Therefore it is an area of low risk	Natural disasters invariably affect transportation routes and make it difficult for persons requiring commutes to their workplace to continue their employment. Therefore a greater proportion of commuters indicates a disaster risk	0.9	Very High
Percent commuters by transit	Percent of resident workers who commute by transit	A Lower value is associated with low risk	A lower commute time is assocatied with a health local economy. A higher value is associated with high risk	0.1	Very High
Percent Disconnected Youth		A Lower value is associated with low risk	Teens and young adults need to either be in school, or part of the workforce, to be able to cultivate a healthy, self-sufficient lifestyle, involved hopeful and forward looking endeavours. Disconnected youth have been positively associated with poverty, unempoyment and crime. A higher value is associated with high risk	3.2	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Percent Exercise Access	Percentage of population with adequate access to locations for physical activity.	A higher value is associated is low risk	Lower physical exercise increases health risks with age. A lower value is associated with high risk	81.1	Very Low
Percent Food Insecure	Percentage of population who lack adequate access to food.	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Access to food indicates community health. A higher value is associated with high risk	11.2	High
Percent HousingProblems	Percentage of households with at least 1 of 4 housing problems: overcrowding, high housing costs, lack of kitchen facilities, or lack of plumbing facilities.	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Unavailability of housing infrastructure adversely affects residents' health.A higher value is associated with high risk	20.0	Very High
Percent income required for childcare expenses	Childcare costs for a household with two children as a percent of median household income	A Lower value is associated with low risk	A high burden of childcare costs indicates that a family may not be able to provide for its other necessary expenditures. A higher value is associated with high risk	25.3	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Percent LanguageBarrier	Percentage of Population facing Language Barrier	A lower proportion of persons with language problems indicates greater accessibility to disaster relief efforts and is therefore an area of lowe risk	A higher proportion of persons with language barriers could indicate a higher percentage of people suffering due to communication problems, interpretation of instructions and emergency rescue operations.  Therefore it is interpreted as a high risk	4.1	Very High
Percent Limited Access to Healthy Foods	Percentage of population who are low-income and do not live close to a grocery store.	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Persons who are low income lack access to healthy food, especially if they live away from grocery stores and lack transportation. A higher value is associated with high risk	4.8	Moderate
Percent NoHealthIns	Percentage of Population without Health Insurance	Greater health insurance coverage is correlated with better health conditions and higher economic productivity. Therefore a higher number of persons with health insurance is a low risk	Lack of health insurance coverage has negative impacts on health as patients are less likely to receive preventive health care, or affordable medical care during a disaster. A greater proportion of persons without health insurance indicates a higher disaster risk.	2.8	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Percent PoorCondition Bridges	% of Poor Condition Bridges	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates access to quality transportation infrastructure which is essential in times of disasters. A higher value is associated with high risk	0.0	Low
Percent rural	Percentage of population living in rural areas	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Rural areas often lack access to resources and are geogrpahically isolated. A higher value is associated with high risk	12.7	Low
Percent Section8	Rate of low-rent + section-eight units in county	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Greater proportion of low income housing indicates poverty and declining housing quality. A higher value is associated with high risk	0.0	Moderate
Percent Vaccinated	Percentage of Households vaccinated against Covid-19 and other diseases	A higher value is associated is low risk	A high proportion of vaccination indicates that the community is safe against the Covid-19 pandemic. A lower value is associated with high risk	51.0	Very Low
Percent work at home	Resident workers who work at home	A higher value is associated is low risk	A lower commute time is assocatied with a health local economy. A lower value is associated with high risk	4385.0	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk V Interpretation	alues	Risk Level
Physically Unhealthy Days	Average number of physically unhealthy days per year for the population	A Lower value is associated with low risk	A higher value is associated with high risk because people are more prone to being affected by disaster risks	3.7	Very Low
PM10	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	0.0	Very Low
PM2.5	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	7.3	Very High
PM2Point5	Concentration of Pollutant	A lower concentration indicates less pollution and is associated with lower risk	A higher concentration indicates more pollution and is associated with higher risk	17.0	Very High
Population	Total Population	A small number of people will be affected by a disaster	A large number of 2100 persons will be affected by the disaster	006.0	Very High
poverty	Percentage Below Poverty Line	A lower poverty indicates less exposure to disaster risks and greater accessibility to exigency funds. It is therefore a low risk	Poverty can be both a cause and consequence of disaster risk. A greater poverty is associated with a higher risk	24.6	Very Low
Precipitation	Precipitation	A lower precipitation is associated with a lower risk	A higher precipitation is associated with higher risk	27.0	Moderat

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
PrimaryCare Physicians Rate	Ratio of population to primary care physicians.	A higher value is associated is low risk	A lower proportion of physicians indicates that community members may not have access to medical help during disasters and have to rely on external support. A lower value is associated with high risk	100.1	Very Low
PrimeWorkingAge percent	Percentage of population in Prime Working Age	A greater number of persons of working age indicates a greater labour pool available for the local economy.  Therefore a higher ratio indicates a lower risk.	A lower number of persons of working age represents a greater number of dependents on the existing workfore and is hence a high risk.	42.1	Very High
PT	Percentage Employed in Production and Trans- portation Occupations	A lower concentration of production and transportation industries indicates a lower dependence of the economic base on land, location and natural resources. It is therefore more economically resilient and a low risk	and are therefore more susceptible to natural disasters. A higher employment in	11374.0	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
PT LQ	Location Quotient of Production and Trans- portation Occupations	A lower concentration of the production and transportation idnustry indicates a low risk	A higher LQ for the Production and Transportation Industry indicates a high risk	0.8	Very Low
Radon.x	Radon zone, ordinal value	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates environmental quality and stability. A higher value is associated with high risk	1.0	Moderate
Recent Immigrants Percent	Percentage of Recent Immigrant Individuals	A lower percentage of immigrants represents a lower disaster risk owing to more equitable access to local and regional resources within the community and lack of communication barriers	A higher percentage of immigrants represents a greater disaster risk owing to their immigration status, lack of English proficiency and lack of direct access to resources in comparison with native citizens	0.1	Moderate
Recreation related business rate	Rate of recreation- related businesses per county	A lower value is associated with low risk	Greater proportion of recreation-related business indicate hightened economic instability during disasters. A higher value is associated with high risk	0.0	Moderate

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Rental CostBurden below20000	Housing Cost Burden on renters whose incomes are below 20,000 USD	A lower proportion of cost-burdened low income households indicates greater economic resilience among low-income renters. This is an asset during natural disasters and is a low risk area	A higher proportion of low income rental households who are housing cost burdened signals a higher concentration of highly vulnerable households in the community. This is an area of high risk, structurally, financially and socially	16.0	Very High
Rental Overcrowding	Percentage of Rental Units that are Overcrowded	A lower proportion of overcrowded rental units indicates a more affordable rental housing stock, and a more resilient rental population. It is a low risk	A higher proportion of rental units which are overcrowded signals lower incomes, structural and maintenance issues associated with the rental housing stock. It indicates that the rental stock is inadequate and unaffordable and is therefore associated with high risk	2.7	Very High
Rented	Percentage Renters	A lower proportion of renters signals greater homeownership, higher incomes and access to resources. This is therefore an area of low risk	Renters are associated with higher vulnerability during disasters owing to lower incomes, and lesser accessibility to disaster relief. A high proportion of renters is therefore associated with a higher risk	41.6	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Renter MHHI	Monthly Household Income of Renters	A higher income indicates greater capacity to cope with disasters. It is therefore associated with low risk.	A lower income indicates a lower capacity to cope with disasters and is associated with high risk	29102.0	High
Renters Cost IncomeRatio	Ratio of Renter Housing Cost to Household Income	A lower cost to income ratio for renters indicates that rental households are successfully able to meet their financial demands and are more resilient to disasters. It is therefore a low risk.	A higher cost to income ratio for renters indicates that rental households are unable to meet their financial needs and are more likely to suffer adversely during disasters. It is therefore associated with high risk.	0.0	Very Low
Resident Workers	Number of resident workers	A higher value is associated is low risk	Greater number of workers represent greater economic participation. A lower value is associated with high risk	102205.0	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Retail	Percentage Employed in Retail Occupations	Although prone to natural disasters, retail industries generally show a higher resilience to natural disasters than wholesale industries. This is because they are less likely to be low mitigators and often associated with having a plan unlike wholesale industries. Thus a higher employment in retail industries is associated with low risk.		10082.0	Very Low
Retail LQ	Location Quotient of Retail Occupations	A higher LQ in retail industries is associated with low risk	A lower LQ in retail industries is associated with high risk	1.0	High
Sales	Percentage Employed in Sales Occupations	Employment in sales is associated with higher pay greater skills and organizational support. It signals greater economic resilience and low risk	A lower employment in sales industries could indicate a less entrepreneurial economy, lower resilience and therefore higher disaster risk	18420.0	Very Low
Sales LQ	Location Quotient of Service Occupations	A higher LQ for the service sector indicates a low risk	A lower LQ for sales industries is associated with high risk	0.8	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Segregation Index	Index of dissimilarity where higher values indicate greater residential segregation between non-white and white county residents.	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Dissimilarity or segregation indicates social inequality and differential access to resources. A higher value is associated with high risk	42.7	Very Low
Service	Percentage Employed in Service	Service sector is a huge contributor to the economic productivity and bolsters the primary and secondary economic sectors as well and provides a huge source of employment. A higher employment in the service sector signals greater economic resilience and lower risk.	A lower proportion of workers in the service sector indicates a less developed and resilient economy with greater dependence on place-based work. It is therefore an area of high risk.	17430.0	Very Low
Service LQ	Location Quotient of Service Occupations	A higher LQ for the service sector indicates a high risk	A lower LQ for the service sector indicates a lower resilience and higher risk	1.0	High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
SingleParent Percent	Percentage of Single Parent Households	A lower proportion of single parent households indicates a lower disaster vulnerability, owing to lesser probability of financial, academic and behavioural problems which have been correlated with single parent households.	Children living in single-parent households have greater risks to their physical and social health and wellbeing compared with other family structures such as two parent or grandparent headed households. Thus, a greater proprotion of single parent households represents a higher disaster risk	6.3	Moderate
Skilled Percent	Percentage of Skilled Persons	A higher number of skilled individuals represents a lower disaster risk	Lack of occupational skill is associated with lower incomes and employment benefits and therefore less resources for disaster recovery. Therefore, a lower percentage of skilled workers indicates a greater disaster risk.	14.0	Very Low
SO4Ave ln	Sulfate (SO4) precipitation weighted mean in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	0.4	Very High

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Social Association Rate	Number of membership associations per 10,000 population.	A higher value is associated is low risk	A high rate of social associations indicates healthy relationships and community life. A lower value is associated with high risk	11.9	High
std coal prim pop ln	Primarily coal mines, mines per county population, as proportion	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk	-14.3	Moderate
std metal prim pop ln	Primarily metal mines, mines per county population, as proportion	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk	-15.2	Very High
std nonmetal prim pop ln	Primarily nonmetal mines, mines per county population, as proportion	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk	-15.1	High
std sandandgravel prim pop ln	Primarily sand and gravel mines, mines per county population, as proportion	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk	-9.9	Moderate
std stone prim pop ln	Primarily stone mines, mines per county population, as proportion	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It indicates mining activities and environmental stability. A higher value is associated with high risk	-12.2	Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Total	Total Housing Stock	A lower number of housing units indicates less damage during a disaster and is associated with low risk	A higher number of housing units indicates the possibility of greater risk during disasters	93679.0	Very High
Transport	Percentage Employed in Transporta- tion Occupations	Like retail, transportation businesses are often associated with having business plans, more structurally sound and meets standards. Thus a higher employment in transportation indicates a low risk	A lower employment in transportation business could mean that the businesses are not widely spread out and less economically resilient. It is interpreted as a high risk.	5190.0	Very Low
Transport LQ	Location Quotient of Transporta- tion Occupations	A higher LQ in transportation industries is associated with low risk	A lower LQ in transportation industries associated with high risk	0.8	High
Unemployment percent	Percentage of Unemployed Persons	A lower rate of unemployment indicates a more stable and resilient economic environment and is therefore associated with lower disaster risks	A high rate of unemployment could indicate a range of socio-economic vulnerabilities and political struggles. It indicates lower economic resilience during disasters and is therefore associated with high risk	0.0	Moderate

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Units SingleFamily	Percentage of Single Family Units		A greater proportion of single family homes signals lesser housing diversity and therefore higher risks for low income populations. It is associated with higher risk	53.5	Very Low
UnstableEmploymentPercent	tPercentage Population with Unstable Employment	A lower proportion of workers with unstable employment indicates greater economic stability and resilience, higher incomes and greater access to disaster recovery resources. It is therefore a low risk	challenges lowering economic	79.5	Very Low
Vacancy	Percentage Vacant Units	A lower proportion of vacant units signals greater utilization of available built environment resources, greater maintenance and lower probability of structural damage. It is therefore associated with low risk	A high proportion of vacant units signals a weak economic base and possibilities of blight and structural damage. It is therefore associated with a high risk	11.3	Moderat

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Vice related business rate	Rate of vice-related businesses per county as proportion of total businesses	A Lower value is associated with low risk	Greater proportion of vice-related business indicate hightened economic instability during disasters. A higher value is associated with high risk	0.0	Low
Violent Crime Rate	Number of reported violent crime offenses per 100,000 population.	A Lower value is associated with low risk	A high of crimes indicates safety issues within the community. A higher value is associated with high risk	487.2	Very High
W As ln	Arsenic in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-4.7	Very High
W Ba ln	Barium in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-2.8	High
W Cd ln	Cadmium in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-5.8	Moderate
W CN ln	Cyanide in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-4.1	Very High
W Cr ln	Chromium (total) in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-5.1	Very High
W FL ln	Fluoride in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-0.4	Moderate

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
W HG ln	Mercury (inorganic) in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-6.9	Very High
W NO2 ln	Nitrite (as N) in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-1.8	Very High
W NO3 ln	Nitrate (as N) in mg/L, log transformed	A Lower value is associated with low risk	It is a pollutant. A higher value is associated with high risk	-0.9	Moderate
Walkability Score	Walkability score (ordinal)	A higher value is associated is low risk	High walkability scores indicate greater community vitality and economic accessibility. A lower value is associated with high risk	11.8	Very Low
Wholesale	Percentage Employed in Wholesale Trade	A lower employment in wholesale businesses signals a greater economic resilience and low risk	Wholesale and retail businesses are more vulnerable to natural disasters and are more likely to close after disasters. They are also more likely to be low mitigators. A higher proportion of employment in the wholesale industry signals a high disaster risk	2059.0	Very High
Wholesale LQ	Location Quotient of Wholesale Trade Occupations	A lower LQ in wholesale industries is associated with low risk	A higher LQ is wholesale industries is associated with high risk	0.7	Very Low

Indicator	Definition	Low Risk Interpretation	High Risk Interpretation	Values	Risk Level
Workers to OtherCounties	Number of resident workers who commute to work in other counties	A Lower value is associated with low risk	A lower commute time is assocatied with a health local economy. A higher value is associated with high risk	6626.0	High
Working Nonworking ratio	Ratio of Working to Non-working Population	A high ratio indicates lower risk	This ratio indocates the amount of labor resources available in the market, and signals unemployment, income and other economic factors. A low ratio indicates a lower economic resilience to market shocks and is therefore high risk.	0.0	High
WorkNearby percent	Workers who	A greater proportion of persons who live near their place of work indicates a lower dependence on commute and transportation networks and a more robust resilient economy. Therefore it is a low risk	A lower proprotion of persons who live near their place of work indicates a high dependence on transportation networks for economic activities and a greater risk of disruption during disasters. It is therefore a high risk	105.8	Moderate
Young percent	Percentage of Young Persons	A small percentage of children would mean less vulnerability to disasters	A large percentage of children would indicate a greater vulnerability to disasters	18.9	Very Low

 ${\bf Appendix\ B}$   ${\bf High\ Risk\ and\ Low\ Risk\ Areas\ at\ Rural,\ Urban\ and\ Census\ Place\ Levels}$ 

Rural   (Rural   (Urban   (Urban   (Places) (Places   Places   Agri   33.0   Low   0.0   Moderate   9.5   High   Agri LQ   0.9   Very Low   0.0   Very Low   1.2   Low   Agro Percent   1.0   Very Low   0.0   Very High   1.3   Low   Airports   1.0   Very Low   1.0   Very High   1.1   Low   1.0   Low   1.0   Very High   1.0   Very High   1.0   Very High   1.0   Very High   1.0   Very Low   1.0   Very Low   1.0   Very Low   1.0   Very Low   1.0   Very High   1.0   Very Hi	Indicator	Values	Risk Level	Values	Risk Level	Values	Risk Level
Agri LQ         0.9         Very Low         0.0         Very High         1.3         Low           Agro Percent         1.0         Very Low         0.0         Very High         1.3         Low           Airports         1.0         Very Low         1.0         Very Low         1.0         Very Low           ALLNPDESperKM         4.0         Very High         4.0         Low         4.0         Very High           Arts         114.0         High         257.0         Very High         20.0         High           Arts LQ         0.7         Moderate         1.4         Low         0.6         Low           Avg HHsize         2.5         High         2.3         Very High         2.5         High           Avg Temp         52.2         High         52.2         Low         52.2         High           Bridges         725.0         Very Low         725.0         High         725.0         Very Low           Business         4273.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low           CaAve ln         -1.5         Moderate         -1.5         Very Low         -1.5         Moderate <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th><th></th></td<>							
Agro Percent       1.0       Very Low       0.0       Very High       1.3       Low         Airports       1.0       Very Low       1.0       Very Low       1.0       Very Low         ALLNPDESperKM       4.0       Very High       4.0       Low       4.0       Very High         Arts       114.0       High       257.0       Very High       20.0       High         Arts LQ       0.7       Moderate       1.4       Low       0.6       Low         Avg HHsize       2.5       High       2.3       Very High       2.5       High         Avg Temp       52.2       High       52.2       Low       52.2       High         Bridges       725.0       Very Low       725.0       High       725.0       Very Low         Business       4273.0       Very Low       4273.0       Very Low       4273.0       Very Low         CaAve In       -1.5       Moderate       -1.5       Very Low       -1.5       Moderate         Civic related       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very Low       0.0       Very H         Cov       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very H <td>Agri</td> <td>33.0</td> <td>Low</td> <td>0.0</td> <td>Moderate</td> <td>9.5</td> <td>High</td>	Agri	33.0	Low	0.0	Moderate	9.5	High
Airports 1.0 Very Low 1.0 Very Low 1.0 Very Low 1.0 Very High Low 1.0 Very High Low 1.0 Very Low 1.0 Very Low 1.0 Very High Low 1.0 Very	Agri LQ	0.9	Very Low	0.0	Very Low	1.2	Low
ALLNPDESperKM In       4.0       Very High       4.0       Low       4.0       Very High         Arts       114.0       High       257.0       Very High       20.0       High         Arts LQ       0.7       Moderate       1.4       Low       0.6       Low         Avg HHsize       2.5       High       2.3       Very High       2.5       High         Avg Temp       52.2       High       52.2       Low       52.2       High         Bridges       725.0       Very Low       725.0       High       725.0       Very Low         Business       4273.0       Very Low       4273.0       Very Low       4273.0       Very Low         CaAve In       -1.5       Moderate       -1.5       Very Low       -1.5       Moderate         Civic related business rate       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very Low       0.0       Very H         Cos       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very H         Commuters withinCounty       95030.0       Very High       95030.0       Very H       16.9       Very High       16.9       Very High         CommuteTime       16.9       V	Agro Percent	1.0	Very Low	0.0	Very High	1.3	Low
In         Arts         114.0 High         257.0 Very High         20.0 High           Arts LQ         0.7 Moderate         1.4 Low         0.6 Low           Avg HHsize         2.5 High         2.3 Very High         2.5 High           Avg Temp         52.2 High         52.2 Low         52.2 High           Bridges         725.0 Very Low         725.0 High         725.0 Very Low           Business         4273.0 Very Low         4273.0 Very Low         4273.0 Very Low           CaAve In         -1.5 Moderate         -1.5 Very Low         -1.5 Moderate           Civic related business rate         0.0 Very High         0.0 Very Low         0.0 Very High           CO8         0.0 Very High         95030.0 High         95030.0 Very High           Commuters withinCounty         95030.0 Very High         95030.0 High         95030.0 Very High           CommuteTime         16.9 Very Low         16.9 Very High         67.5 Very Low           construction LQ         1.1 Very High         0.4 Very High         1.5 Moderate           Cost IncomeRatio         0.0 Very High         0.0 High         0.0 High           COVID-19 death rate         32.0 Low         32.0 Very High         32.0 Low           D303 Percent In         2.9 Very High	Airports	1.0	Very Low	1.0	Very Low	1.0	Very Low
Arts LQ       0.7       Moderate       1.4       Low       0.6       Low         Avg HHsize       2.5       High       2.3       Very High       2.5       High         Avg Temp       52.2       High       52.2       Low       52.2       High         Bridges       725.0       Very Low       725.0       High       725.0       Very Low         Business       4273.0       Very Low       4273.0       Very Low       4273.0       Very Low         CaAve In       -1.5       Moderate       -1.5       Very Low       -1.5       Moderate         Civic related       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very Low       0.0       Very How         Cos       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very High       0.0       Very High         Commuters       95030.0       Very High       95030.0       High       95030.0       Very High         CommuteTime       16.9       Very Low       16.9       Very High       16.9       Very Low         construction LQ       1.1       Very High       0.4       Very High       1.5       Moderate         Cot IncomeRatio       0.0       Very High       0.0       High		4.0	Very High	4.0	Low	4.0	Very High
Avg HHsize         2.5         High         2.3         Very High         2.5         High           Avg Temp         52.2         High         52.2         Low         52.2         High           Bridges         725.0         Very Low         725.0         High         725.0         Very Low           Business         4273.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low           CaAve In         -1.5         Moderate         -1.5         Very Low         -1.5         Moderate           Civic related         0.0         Very High         0.0         Very Low         0.0         Very H           Co8         0.0         Very High         0.0         Very High         0.0         Very H           Commuters         95030.0         Very High         95030.0         Wery H         Wery H         Very H           CommuteTime         16.9         Very Low         64.0         Very High         67.5         Very Low           construction LQ         1.1         Very High         0.4         Very High         1.5         Moderate           CoVID-19 death rate         32.0         Low         32.0         Very H         2.9	Arts	114.0	High	257.0	Very High	20.0	High
Avg Temp         52.2 High         52.2 Low         52.2 High           Bridges         725.0 Very Low         725.0 High         725.0 Very Low           Business         4273.0 Very Low         4273.0 Very Low         4273.0 Very Low           CaAve In         -1.5 Moderate         -1.5 Very Low         -1.5 Moderate           Civic related         0.0 Very High         0.0 Very Low         0.0 Very High           business rate         0.0 Very High         0.0 Very High         0.0 Very High           CO8         0.0 Very High         95030.0 High         95030.0 Very High           Commuters         95030.0 Very High         95030.0 Very High         95030.0 Very High           CommuteTime         16.9 Very Low         16.9 Very High         16.9 Very Low           construction         172.0 Low         64.0 Very High         67.5 Very Low           cost IncomeRatio         0.0 Very High         0.0 High         0.0 High           COVID-19 death         32.0 Low         32.0 Very High         32.0 Low           D303 Percent In         2.9 Very High         2.9 Low         2.9 Very H           Disabled Percent         0.1 Very Low         0.0 Very Low         0.0 Very H	Arts LQ	0.7	Moderate	1.4	Low	0.6	Low
Bridges         725.0         Very Low         725.0         High         725.0         Very Low         225.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low         -1.5         Moderate           CaAve In         -1.5         Moderate         -1.5         Very Low         -1.5         Moderate           Civic related         0.0         Very High         0.0         Very Low         0.0         Very How           CO8         0.0         Very High         0.0         Very High         0.0         Very High           Commuters         95030.0         Very High         95030.0         High         95030.0         Very H           CommuteTime         16.9         Very Low         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High           Construction         172.0         Low         64.0         Very High         67.5         Very Low           Cost IncomeRatio         0.0         Very High         0.4         Very High         1.5         Moderate           COVID-19 death rate         32.0         Low         32.0         Very High         32.0 <td< td=""><td>Avg HHsize</td><td>2.5</td><td>High</td><td>2.3</td><td>Very High</td><td>2.5</td><td>High</td></td<>	Avg HHsize	2.5	High	2.3	Very High	2.5	High
Business establishments         4273.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low         4273.0         Very Low         -1.5         Moderate         -1.5         Very Low         0.0         Very Low         0.0         Very High         0.0         Very Low         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         0.0         Very Low         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         0.0         Very Low         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         0.0         Very High         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         0.0         Very High         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         0.0         Noderate         16.9         Very High         16.9         Very High         <	Avg Temp	52.2	High	52.2	Low	52.2	High
establishments CaAve In -1.5 Moderate -1.5 Very Low -1.5 Moderate Civic related 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very High 0	Bridges	725.0	Very Low	725.0	High	725.0	Very Low
Civic related business rate  CO8  O.0 Very High  O.0 High  O.0 High  O.0 High  O.0 High  O.0 High  O.0 Very High		4273.0	Very Low	4273.0	Very Low	4273.0	Very Low
business rate  CO8  O.0 Very High  O.0 High  O.0 High  O.0 High  O.0 High  O.0 Very High	CaAve ln	-1.5	Moderate	-1.5	Very Low	-1.5	Moderate
Commuters within County  Commute Time 16.9 Very Low 16.9 Very High 95030.0 Very High 16.9 Very Low construction 172.0 Low 64.0 Very High 67.5 Very Low Construction LQ 1.1 Very High 0.4 Very High 1.5 Modera Cost IncomeRatio 0.0 Very High 0.0 High 0.0 High 1.5 COVID-19 death 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low 10303 Percent ln 2.9 Very High 2.9 Low 2.9 Very High 1.5 Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very High 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very High 0.		0.0	Very High	0.0	Very Low	0.0	Very High
CommuteTime 16.9 Very Low 16.9 Very High 16.9 Very Low construction 172.0 Low 64.0 Very High 67.5 Very Low construction LQ 1.1 Very High 0.4 Very High 1.5 Modera Cost IncomeRatio 0.0 Very High 0.0 High 0.0 High 0.0 High COVID-19 death 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low Disabled Percent 0.1 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Modera Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very High	CO8	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High
construction 172.0 Low 64.0 Very High 67.5 Very Loconstruction LQ 1.1 Very High 0.4 Very High 1.5 Moderate Cost IncomeRatio 0.0 Very High 0.0 High 0.0 High 0.0 High 1.5 COVID-19 death 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low Disabled Percent 0.1 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Moderate Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very H		95030.0	Very High	95030.0	High	95030.0	Very High
construction LQ 1.1 Very High 0.4 Very High 1.5 Modera Cost IncomeRatio 0.0 Very High 0.0 High 0.0 High 0.0 High COVID-19 death 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low D303 Percent ln 2.9 Very High 2.9 Low 2.9 Very H Disabled Percent 0.1 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Modera Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very H	CommuteTime	16.9	Very Low	16.9	Very High	16.9	Very Low
Cost IncomeRatio 0.0 Very High 0.0 High 0.0 High 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low 32.0 Very High 32.0 Low D303 Percent ln 2.9 Very High 2.9 Low 2.9 Very H Disabled Percent 0.1 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Moderate Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very H	construction	172.0	Low	64.0	Very High	67.5	Very Low
COVID-19 death rate  32.0 Low  32.0 Very High  32.0 Low  D303 Percent ln  2.9 Very High  2.9 Low  2.9 Very H  Disabled Percent  0.1 Very Low  0.0 Very Low  0.0 Very Low  0.0 Very H  Docks	construction LQ	1.1	Very High	0.4	Very High	1.5	Moderate
D303 Percent ln 2.9 Very High 2.9 Low 2.9 Very H Disabled Percent 0.1 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Modera Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very H	Cost IncomeRatio	0.0	Very High	0.0	High	0.0	High
Disabled Percent 0.1 Very Low 0.0 Very Low 0.0 Modera Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very H		32.0	Low	32.0	Very High	32.0	Low
Docks 0.0 Very High 0.0 Low 0.0 Very H	D303 Percent ln	2.9	Very High	2.9	Low	2.9	Very High
	Disabled Percent	0.1	Very Low	0.0	Very Low	0.0	Moderate
Edu 641.0 Very Low 961.0 Very Low 134.5 Very Low	Docks	0.0	Very High	0.0	Low	0.0	Very High
	Edu	641.0	Very Low	961.0	Very Low	134.5	Very Low
Edu LQ 1.4 Very Low 2.0 Low 1.2 Very H	Edu LQ	1.4	Very Low	2.0	Low	1.2	Very High
Educated percent 97.9 Very Low 98.1 Very Low 97.3 Very Low	Educated percent	97.9	Very Low	98.1	Very Low	97.3	Very Low

Indicator		Risk Level (Rural)		Risk Level (Urban)		Risk Level (Places)
Education related business rate		Very Low	0.0	High	0.0	Very Low
Elderly growth percent	30.7	Very High	20.3	Very High	-11.8	Very High
FemaleHeaded HHs Percent	3.5	Moderate	3.6	High	3.5	High
FemaleLaborforce percent	69.0	Very High	80.2	High	66.3	Very High
Females Percent	51.0	Low	50.5	High	51.3	Moderate
Finance	116.0	Very Low	75.0	Very High	28.5	Very Low
Finance LQ	0.8	Low	0.5	Moderate	0.7	Low
Food Environment Index	8.2	Moderate	8.2	Low	8.2	Moderate
Freight railroad miles	135.5	Very Low	135.5	Low	135.5	Very Low
fungicide ln	8.7	Very High	8.7	Very High	8.7	Very High
Gender Pay Gap	0.8	Very High	0.8	High	0.8	Very High
GINI Index	0.4	High	0.5	High	0.4	Low
GroupQuarters	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High
Healthcare related business rate	0.0	Low	0.0	Moderate	0.0	Low
HelperPool	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High
herbicide ln	12.9	Very High	12.9	Very High	12.9	Very High
Homewownership	69.3	Low	43.9	Very High	74.1	Very Low
Inadequate Facilities	1.0	Moderate	1.0	Low	1.0	Moderate
Info	33.0	Very Low	42.0	Very Low	6.0	Low
Info LQ	0.9	Very Low	1.3	High	0.8	Very Low
insecticide ln	9.7	Very High	9.7	High	9.7	Very High
Kave ln	-1.5	Very Low	-1.5	Moderate	-1.5	Very Low
LargeFam Percent	29.7	High	31.5	Low	31.9	High
LowIncome Percent	24.4	Very Low	33.1	Very High	26.7	Very Low
Management	50833.0	Very Low	38833.0	High	36875.0	Very Low

Indicator		Risk Level (Rural)		Risk Level (Urban)		Risk Level (Places)
Management LQ	2255.6	High	2032.2	Very Low	5480.4	Very Low
Manufacturing	141.0	Low	107.0	Very High	54.0	High
Manufacturing LQ	0.7	Very Low	0.5	Low	0.8	Very High
Max Temp	52.2	High	52.2	Low	52.2	High
MBS	816.0	Very Low	1093.0	Very Low	152.5	Low
MBS LQ	1.1	Very Low	1.3	Moderate	0.9	Very High
MedianHomeValue	151200.0	Low	142800.0	Very Low	129000.0	Very Low
MentalHealth Provider Rate	295.4	Low	295.4	Moderate	295.4	Low
MHHI	68667.0	Low	53665.0	Very High	62644.0	Very Low
Min Temp	52.2	High	52.2	Low	52.2	High
MobileHomes	1.1	Low	0.7	Very High	2.3	Low
NewHomes	27.7	Low	41.2	Very Low	22.9	Low
NO21	0.0	Very High	0.0	Low	0.0	Very High
NO2AM	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High
NO3Ave.x	1.0	High	1.0	High	1.0	High
NonWhite Percent	9.9	Very High	34.6	Very High	3.7	Moderate
NotMarried Percent	60.0	Very High	70.1	Very High	60.2	High
NoVehicle Pop	14.0	Moderate	103.0	Very High	0.0	Very High
O3	0.1	Very High	0.1	Very High	0.1	Very High
Old percent	20.4	Very Low	17.0	Very Low	20.0	Very Low
OldHomes	72.3	Low	58.8	Very High	77.1	Low
Other county workers	7154.0	High	7154.0	Low	7154.0	High
Others	25588.0	Moderate	20167.0	High	21987.0	Low
Others LQ	2211.8	Very High	1757.5	Very Low	1205.4	Moderate
Overcrowding	0.9	High	0.8	Very High	0.0	Moderate
Passenger railroad miles	37.4	Very Low	37.4	Low	37.4	Very Low
Pb3	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High
pct au ln	-16.3	Very Low	-16.3	Very High	-16.3	Very Low
pct disease acres ln	-3.0	High	-3.0	Very High	-3.0	High

## (continued)

Indicator		Risk Level (Rural)		Risk Level (Urban)		Risk Level (Places)
pct harvested acres	-0.2	Very High	-0.2	Very Low	-0.2	Very High
PCT IRRIGATED ACRES ln	0.1	Low	0.1	High	0.1	Low
pct manure acres ln	-4.5	Moderate	-4.5	High	-4.5	Moderate
Per PSWithSW.x	0.0	Very High	0.0	Low	0.0	Very High
Per TotPopSS.x	9.3	Low	9.3	Moderate	9.3	Low
Percent Medium- FairCondition Bridges	1.0	Low	1.0	Low	1.0	Low
Percent AssistanceNeed	-26.8	Moderate	-43.8	Very High	-36.7	Very High
Percent BachelorsDegree	20.8	Very Low	27.4	Very Low	14.6	Very Low
Percent BroadbandAccess	83.6	Very Low	83.6	Low	83.6	Very Low
Percent Children in Poverty	15.4	Moderate	15.4	Very High	15.4	Moderate
Percent Commuters	0.0	Very High	1.1	Very Low	0.0	Very High
Percent commuters by transit	0.1	Very High	0.1	High	0.1	Very High
Percent Disconnected Youth	3.2	High	3.2	Moderate	3.2	High
Percent Exercise Access	81.1	Very Low	81.1	Low	81.1	Very Low
Percent Food Insecure	11.2	High	11.2	Moderate	11.2	High
Percent HousingProblems	20.0	Very High	20.0	Very High	20.0	Very High
Percent income required for childcare expenses	25.3	High	25.3	Very Low	25.3	High
Percent LanguageBarrier	0.7	Very High	4.3	Very Low	0.0	High

Indicator		Risk Level (Rural)		Risk Level (Urban)		Risk Level (Places)
Percent Limited Access to Healthy Foods	4.8	Moderate	4.8	High	4.8	Moderate
Percent NoHealthIns	2.9	Very Low	1.8	Moderate	3.1	Low
Percent PoorCondition Bridges	0.0	Low	0.0	High	0.0	Low
Percent rural	12.7	Low	12.7	Low	12.7	Low
Percent Section8	0.0	Moderate	0.0	Moderate	0.0	Moderate
Percent Vaccinated	51.0	Very Low	51.0	Moderate	51.0	Very Low
Percent work at home	4385.0	Very Low	4385.0	Very High	4385.0	Very Low
Physically Unhealthy Days	3.7	Very Low	3.7	Moderate	3.7	Very Low
PM10	0.0	Very High	0.0	High	0.0	Very High
PM2.5	7.3	Very High	7.3	High	7.3	Very High
PM2Point5	17.0	Very High	17.0	High	17.0	Very High
Population	4101.0	Very High	NA	NA	NA	NA
poverty	19.1	Moderate	24.0	Moderate	14.0	Very High
Precipitation	27.0	Moderate	27.0	Very High	27.0	Moderate
PrimaryCare Physicians Rate	100.1	Very Low	100.1	Low	100.1	Very Low
PrimeWorkingAge percent	50.9	Very Low	39.9	Very High	52.8	Very Low
PT	190.0	Very Low	193.0	Very High	79.5	High
PT LQ	0.7	Very Low	0.4	Moderate	0.9	Very Low
Radon.x	1.0	Moderate	1.0	Low	1.0	Moderate
Recent Immigrants Percent	0.0	Very High	0.0	High	0.0	Very High
Recreation related business rate	0.0	Moderate	0.0	High	0.0	Moderate
Rental CostBurden below	6.6	Very Low	13.5	High	NA	NA
Rental CostBurden below20000	NA	NA	NA	NA	3.8	High

(00777777404)						
Indicator		Risk Level (Rural)		Risk Level (Urban)		Risk Level (Places)
Rental Overcrowding	1.1	Very High	2.0	Moderate	0.0	High
Rented	25.2	Very High	42.0	Very High	17.4	Low
Renter MHHI	33424.0	Moderate	32650.0	Moderate	28936.0	Low
Renters Cost IncomeRatio	0.0	Moderate	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very Low
Resident Workers	102205.0	Very Low	102205.0	Very High	102205.0	Very Low
Retail	240.0	Very Low	143.0	Very High	63.5	Very Low
Retail LQ	1.1	Moderate	0.8	Very High	1.0	Moderate
Sales	410.0	Very Low	362.0	Very High	111.0	Very Low
Sales LQ	0.9	Moderate	0.8	Very High	1.1	Low
Segregation Index	42.7	Very Low	42.7	Moderate	42.7	Very Low
Service	267.0	Low	353.0	Very High	58.0	Moderate
Service LQ	0.8	Very High	1.1	High	0.9	High
SingleParent Percent	5.0	Low	5.0	Very High	6.1	Low
Skilled Percent	6.8	Very Low	16.7	Very High	3.8	Low
SO21	3.0	Very Low	3.0	Low	3.0	Very Low
SO4Ave ln	0.4	Very High	0.4	Very High	0.4	Very High
Social Association Rate	11.9	High	11.9	Low	11.9	High
std coal prim pop ln	-14.3	Moderate	-14.3	Very High	-14.3	Moderate
std metal prim pop ln	-15.2	Very High	-15.2	High	-15.2	Very High
std nonmetal prim pop ln	-15.1	High	-15.1	Moderate	-15.1	High
std sandandgravel prim pop ln	-9.9	Moderate	-9.9	Low	-9.9	Moderate
std stone prim pop ln	-12.2	Low	-12.2	Moderate	-12.2	Low
Total	1553.0	Moderate	2045.0	Very Low	444.0	High
Transport	108.0	Low	50.0	Very High	35.5	Very Low
Transport LQ	0.6	Very High	0.4	Low	1.0	Moderate

## (continued)

Indicator		Risk Level (Rural)		Risk Level (Urban)		Risk Level (Places)
Unemployment percent	0.0	Moderate	0.0	Very High	0.0	High
Units SingleFamily	66.8	Low	53.7	High	77.2	High
UnstableEmployment Percent	87.2	Very Low	76.1	Very High	89.1	Very High
Vacancy	9.0	Low	12.1	Very Low	8.8	Low
Vice related business rate	0.0	Low	0.0	Moderate	0.0	Low
Violent Crime Rate	487.2	Very High	487.2	High	487.2	Very High
W As ln	-4.7	Very High	-4.7	Moderate	-4.7	Very High
W Ba ln	-2.8	High	-2.8	Low	-2.8	High
W Cd ln	-5.8	Moderate	-5.8	Very High	-5.8	Moderate
W CN ln	-4.1	Very High	-4.1	High	-4.1	Very High
W Cr ln	-5.1	Very High	-5.1	Very High	-5.1	Very High
W FL ln	-0.4	Moderate	-0.4	Very High	-0.4	Moderate
W HG ln	-6.9	Very High	-6.9	Moderate	-6.9	Very High
W NO2 ln	-1.8	Very High	-1.8	Very High	-1.8	Very High
W NO3 ln	-0.9	Moderate	-0.9	Very High	-0.9	Moderate
Walkability Score	11.8	Very Low	11.8	High	11.8	Very Low
Wholesale	66.0	Very High	21.0	Very Low	19.5	Very High
Wholesale LQ	1.0	Moderate	0.4	Very Low	1.4	Very High
Workers to OtherCounties	6626.0	High	6626.0	High	6626.0	High
Working Nonworking ratio	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High	0.0	Very High
WorkNearby percent	105.5	Moderate	106.1	Very Low	106.7	Very High
Young percent	23.1	Very High	18.2	Very Low	21.3	Low